

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

MELVIN HAYES, JENNIFER HAYES, LESTER
RICARD, JR. and JAMES THORNTON, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, RIDDELL,
INC. d/b/a RIDDELL SPORTS GROUP, INC., ALL
AMERICAN SPORTS CORPORATION d/b/a
RIDDELL/ALL AMERICAN, and RIDDELL
SPORTS GROUP, INC.

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SECTION

MAGISTRATE

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

NOW INTO COURT, come Plaintiffs Melvin Hayes, Jennifer Hayes, Lester Ricard, Jr. and James Thornton who, by and through counsel, bring this action against Defendants National Football League (“NFL”), Riddell, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.), All American Sports Corporation d/b/a Riddell/All American, and Riddell Sports Group, Inc. (collectively, “Riddell”) and allege the following upon information, belief and investigation of counsel:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for injuries sustained by the Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ Spouses as the direct and proximate result of the intentional and tortious conduct of the Defendants in connection with the failure to take effective action to protect players and/or failure to inform players of the true risks associated with concussions, brain injury, and brain trauma.

2. The NFL, or the “League,” is America’s most successful and popular sports league. With 32 member teams, the League is a multi-billion dollar business. With so much money at stake, the NFL is and always has been eager to avoid negative publicity and protect the product on the field. As a result, the NFL regulates just about everything as it pertains to its’ member teams. The NFL regulates league policies, player appearance, marketing, and safety, among other items.

3. Football is unquestionably a tough, aggressive, physically demanding sport. Injuries are common. As such, it is vital to the safety of the players that the NFL act reasonably, through research, studies, and other means, to identify the risks of serious injury associated with playing professional football; to keep the teams and players informed of the risks that they identify; and to take reasonable steps based upon their findings to protect their players. Aware of this responsibility, the NFL, through its own initiative, created the Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (“MTBI”) Committee in 1994 to research and presumably look to ameliorate what was already a tremendous problem in the league - concussions.

4. The rash of head injuries has been noted in a wide variety of news articles and television segments, and was addressed recently by the League in an announcement that it would clamp down on illegal blows to the head. But, as previously alluded to, this spate of head injuries is not a new problem at all. For decades, the League’s players have been plagued by the devastating effects of concussions.

5. Despite overwhelming medical evidence that on field concussions led directly to brain injuries and frequently had tragic repercussions for retired players, the NFL not only failed to take effective action in an attempt to protect players from suffering a similar fate, but reprehensibly failed to inform players of the true risks associated with concussions, instead

misrepresenting and/or concealing medical evidence on the issue through its “hand-picked” committee of unqualified physicians who were purportedly researching same. While athletes in other professional sports who had suffered concussions were being effectively “shut down” for a long period of time or full seasons, NFL protocol was to return players who had suffered a concussion *that very game*.

6. Simply put, the NFL has not taken an issue that requires the utmost attention very seriously. Wanting their players on the field instead of training tables, and in an attempt to protect a multi-billion dollar business, the NFL has purposefully attempted to obfuscate the issue and has repeatedly refuted the connection between concussions and brain injury to the disgust of Congress, which has blasted the NFL’s handling of the issue on multiple occasions, and expert neurologists who know the score. The unfortunate reality is that in the 17 years since its formation, the MTBI has served as nothing short of a roadblock to any real attempt to protect NFL players from concussions and resultant brain injury. In fact, the committee’s concealment and misrepresentation of relevant medical and study information over the years has caused an increased risk of life-threatening injury to players who were being kept in the dark.

7. At the end of the day, the NFL has not only failed to satisfy its duty to take the reasonable steps necessary to protect players from devastating head injuries, they have done everything in their power to hide the issue and mislead the players concerning the risks associated with concussions.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) in that the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

and at least one member of the putative class is a citizen of a different State than that of one of the Defendants.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because the Defendants do business in the State of Louisiana and this judicial district, and because the Defendants derive substantial revenue from their contacts with the State of Louisiana and this judicial district.

10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.D.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this jurisdiction, because the Defendants conduct substantial business in this jurisdiction, and because Plaintiffs sustained damages in this jurisdiction.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Melvin Hayes is an adult resident of the State of Louisiana. Plaintiff Melvin Hayes played in the NFL for the New York Jets and Tennessee Oilers, both member clubs of the League. During his career in the NFL he experienced repeated traumatic head impacts.

12. Plaintiff Jennifer Hayes is the spouse of Melvin Hayes. Plaintiff Jennifer Hayes is an adult resident of the State of Louisiana.

13. Plaintiff Lester Ricard, Jr. is an adult resident of the State of Louisiana. Plaintiff Lester Ricard, Jr. played in the NFL for the Jacksonville Jaguars and Carolina Panthers, both member clubs of the League. During his career in the NFL he experienced repeated traumatic head impacts.

14. Plaintiff James Thornton is an adult resident of the State of Illinois. Plaintiff James Thornton played in the NFL for the Chicago Bears, New York Jets and Houston Oilers, all

member clubs of the League. During his career in the NFL he experienced repeated traumatic head impacts.

15. Defendant NFL, which maintains its offices at 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York, is an unincorporated association consisting of separately owned and independently-operated professional football teams which operate out of many different cities and states within this country. The NFL is engaged in interstate commerce in the business of, among other things, promoting, operating, organizing, and regulating the major professional football league in the United States. The NFL is not, and has not been, the employer of the Plaintiffs, who were employed during their respective careers in professional football by the independent clubs (hereinafter “Teams” or “Clubs”). The United States Supreme Court held in *American Needle, Inc. v. NFL*, 130 S. Ct. 2201, 2212-13 (2010), that each Team that is a member of the NFL is a legally distinct and separate entity from both the other Teams and the NFL itself.

16. Defendant Riddell, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois and whose principal place of business is in the State of Illinois. Riddell is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing football equipment, including helmets, to the NFL and since 1989 has been the official helmet of the NFL.

17. Defendant All American Sports Corporation, d/b/a Riddell/All American, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing football equipment, including helmets, to the NFL and since 1989 has been the official helmet of the NFL.

18. Defendant Riddell Sports Group, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6225 North State

Highway 161, Suite 200, Irving, Texas 75038. Riddell Sports Group, Inc. is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing football equipment, including helmets, to the NFL and since 1989 has been the official helmet of the NFL.

19. Defendants Riddell, Inc., All America Sports Corporation, d/b/a Riddell/All America, and Riddell Sports Group, Inc. are collectively referred to as “Riddell,” and the NFL and Riddell are collectively referred to as “Defendants.”

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. The NFL generates approximately \$9,300,000,000.00 in gross income per year.

21. The NFL oversees America’s most popular spectator sport, acting as a trade association for the benefit of the thirty-two independently operated Teams. The NFL’s average attendance per game in 2009 was 67,509.

22. The NFL has, since its inception in the first half of the twentieth century, governed and promoted the game of football, and as referenced in detail herein, it was created and established to act as the governing body, establishing rules related to player health and safety, League policies, and Team ownership.

23. The NFL generates revenue mostly through marketing sponsorships, licensing merchandise, and by selling national broadcasting rights to the games. The Teams share a percentage of the league’s overall revenue. Founded in 1920 as the American Professional Football Association, the League has been known as the NFL since 1922.

24. The NFL earns billions of dollars from its media deals with, *inter alia*, ESPN (\$1.1 billion), DirecTV (\$1 billion), NBC (\$650 million), Fox (\$712.5 million), and CBS (\$622.5 million).

25. Annually, the NFL redistributes approximately \$4 billion in radio, television, and digital earnings to the Teams or approximately \$125 million per Team. Those revenue numbers show no sign of declining and have increased since 2009.

26. A *Forbes* magazine article recently stated that 19 NFL franchises are worth \$1 billion or more. Even the lowest-valued of the 32 NFL teams is worth approximately \$800 million. Over the last 15 years, the values of franchises in the NFL have gone up 500 percent.

27. According to a recent *Wall Street Journal Article*, the NFL has spent nearly \$5.5 million on lobbying firms since 2006, tripling its lobbying expenses over the previous four years. The lobbying firms “address a host of issues from player concussions to Internet gambling to cable and satellite television matters and labor issues.”

28. Owing in part to its immense financial power and monopoly status in American football, the NFL has assumed enormous influence over the research and education of football injuries to physicians, trainers, coaches, and amateur football players at all levels of the game.

29. Indeed, the website www.nflhealthandsafety.com states that USA Football, the sport’s national governing body, “is the Official Youth Football Development Partner of the NFL and the NFL Players’ Association. (“NFLPA”). The independent non-profit organization leads the development of youth, high school and international amateur football. In addition, USA Football operates programs and builds resources to address key health and safety issues in partnership with leading medical organizations. The organization was endowed by the NFL and NFLPA through the NFL Youth Football Fund in 2002. USA Football stands among the leaders in youth sports concussion education, particularly for football.”

30. Riddell designs, manufactures, markets, sells, and distributes football helmets and other football equipment. Since 1989, Riddell has worked with the NFL on helmets as the

“official helmet of the NFL,” and it publicly touts its’ innovation and research in the advancement of football equipment.

THE NATURE OF HEAD INJURIES SUFFERED BY NFL PLAYERS

31. Medical science has known for many decades that repetitive and violent jarring of the head or impact to the head can cause Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (“MTBI”) with a heightened risk of long term, chronic neurocognitive sequelae.

32. The Defendants have known or should have known for many years that the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (the “AANS”) has defined a concussion as “a clinical syndrome characterized by an immediate and transient alteration in brain function, including an alteration of mental status and level of consciousness, resulting from mechanical force or trauma.”

33. The injury generally occurs when the head either accelerates rapidly and then is stopped, or is spun rapidly. The results frequently include confusion, blurred vision, memory loss, nausea, and, sometimes, unconsciousness.

34. Dr. Wise Young, a neurosurgery professor at New York University, described concussion as follows: “Picture your brain as a hunk of Jell-O floating in a bowl – your cranium. When you get hit in the head, the bowl suddenly shifts and the Jell-O bangs against the side, then rebounds and bangs against the other side. At the same time, the Jell-O is twisted and wrenched. This smashing, jiggling, and torquing of the brain causes strains and tears, snapping blood vessels, killing brain cells (neurons) and shearing the delicate connections (axons) that link this incredibly complex cerebral telephone system.” Further, “[w]hen somebody is severely injured, you see breaks of the axons and nerve fibers all over the brain.”

35. Medical evidence has shown that symptoms of a concussion can reappear hours or days after the injury, indicating that the injured party had not healed from the initial blow.

36. According to neurologists, once a person suffers a concussion, he is as much as four times more likely to sustain a second one. Additionally, after several concussions, a lesser blow may cause the injury, and the injured player requires more time to recover.

37. Clinical and neuropathological studies by some of the nation's foremost experts demonstrate that multiple concussions sustained during an NFL player's career cause severe cognitive problems such as depression and early-onset dementia.

38. Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy ("CTE") is a progressive degenerative disease of the brain found in athletes (and others) with a history of repetitive concussions. Conclusive studies have shown this condition to be prevalent in retired professional football players who have a history of head injury.

39. This head trauma, which includes multiple concussions, triggers progressive degeneration of the brain tissue. These changes in the brain can begin months, years, or even decades after the last concussion or end of active athletic involvement. The brain degeneration is associated with memory loss, confusion, impaired judgment, paranoia, impulse control problems, aggression, depression, and eventually, progressive dementia.

40. Not surprisingly, the University of North Carolina's Center for the Study of Retired Athletes published survey-based papers in 2005 through 2007 that found a clear correlation between NFL football and depression, dementia, and other cognitive impairment.

41. To date, neuroanatomists have performed autopsies on 13 former NFL players who died after exhibiting signs of degenerative brain disease. Twelve of these players were found to have suffered from CTE.

THE DEFICIENT RESPONSE TO THE CONCUSSION ISSUE

42. The NFL’s concussion problem is not new. In 1994, well after Riddell had partnered with the NFL to become the “official helmet of the NFL,” and following the well-publicized retirements of NFL players Al Toon and Merrill Hodge, both of whom had sustained serious head injuries while playing and developed post concussion syndrome, then-NFL Commissioner Paul Tagliabue established the Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (“MTBI”) Committee to study, among other things, post concussion syndrome in NFL players.

43. The NFL affirmatively assumed a duty to use reasonable care in the study of post concussion syndrome, and to use reasonable care in the publication of data from the MTBI Committee’s work.

44. Rather than exercising reasonable care in these duties, the NFL immediately engaged in a long-running course of negligent conduct.

45. Instead of naming a noted neurologist to chair the newly formed committee or, at least, a physician with extensive training and experience treating head injuries, Tagliabue appointed a puppet, Dr. Elliot Pellman, to this post.

46. Dr. Pellman, a rheumatologist with training in the treatment of joints and muscles, not head injuries, would go on to chair the MTBI Committee from 1994 to 2007. Dr. Pellman’s leadership of this committee came under frequent harsh criticism for his deficient medical training, background, and experience to head the committee and lead its research efforts, not to mention his bias.

47. By 1994, when the NFL’s committee was formed, scientists and neurologists alike were already convinced that all concussion – even seemingly mild ones – were serious

injuries that permanently damage the brain, impair thinking ability and memory, and hasten the onset of mental decay and senility, especially when they are inflicted frequently.

48. The NFL's team of handpicked experts had a different take on the issue, however. They did not find concussions to be of significant concern and felt it more than appropriate for players suffering a concussion to continue playing football during the same game in which one was suffered.

49. When asked in or about 2005 about his history of concussions, San Francisco Quarterback Steve Young admitted to six "official" concussions. His definition of an official concussion: "When you're lying on your back and they cart you off. That's an 'official' concussion."

50. With the NFL "party-line" in place minimizing the significance of concussions, players who suffered them were told not to be overly concerned, and were regularly returned to game action mere minutes after sustaining them.

51. This practice, set in motion by the MTBI Committee, was irresponsible and dangerous. A noted neurologist, Dr. Dennis Choi, a professor and head of neurology at Washington University in St. Louis, discussed in 2005 his opinion that the most pernicious concussions are those that occur before earlier concussions have healed. "The brain has a wonderful ability to partially heal itself," said Dr. Choi, but "[i]f you take another shot before healing has taken place, the chances of compounding the injury are very real."

52. In 1999, the National Center for Catastrophic Sports Injury Research at the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill conducted a study involving 18,000 collegiate and high school football players. The research showed that once a player suffered one concussion,

he was three times more likely to sustain a second in the same season following the initial concussion.

53. A 2000 study, which surveyed 1,090 former NFL players, found that more than 60 percent had suffered at least one concussion, and 26 percent had suffered three or more, during their careers. Those who had sustained concussions reported more problems with memory, concentration, speech impediments, headaches, and other neurological problems than those who had not been concussed.

54. The MTBI Committee has published multiple research articles since its inception. The findings of the committee have regularly contradicted the research and experiences of neurologists who treat sports concussions, and to players who endured them.

55. For example, in the October 2004 edition of *Neurosurgery*, the MTBI Committee published a paper in which it asserted that the Committee's research found no risk of repeated concussions in players with previous concussions and that there was no "7- to 10-day window of increased susceptibility to sustaining another concussion."

56. In a comment to the study published in *Neurosurgery*, one doctor wrote that "[t]he article sends a message that it is acceptable to return players while still symptomatic, which contradicts literature published over the past twenty years suggesting that athletes be returned to play only after they are asymptomatic, and in some cases for seven days."

57. For further example, in January 2005 the Committee wrote that returning to play after a concussion "does not involve significant risk of a second injury either in the same game or during the season." However, a 2003 NCAA study of 2,905 college football players found just the opposite: Those who have suffered concussions are more susceptible to further head trauma for seven to 10 days after the injury."

58. In 2005, Dr. Bennet Omalu, at the time a Pittsburgh-area pathologist, studied the brain of former NFL player Mike Webster, who had recently committed suicide. Dr. Omalu determined that Webster suffered from CTE, and published his findings in the June 2005 edition of *Neurosurgery*. Three members of the NFL's MTBI Committee – Drs. Pellman, Viano, and Casson – attacked the article and said they wanted it retracted.

59. Dr. Julian Bailes, a neurosurgeon from West Virginia University, briefed the NFL Committee on the findings of Dr. Omalu and other independent studies linking multiple NFL head injuries with cognitive decline. Dr. Bailes recalled the MTBI Committee's reaction to his presentation: "the Committee got mad . . . we got into it. And I'm thinking, 'This is a . . . disease in America's most popular sport and how are its leaders responding? Alienate the scientist who found it? Refuse to accept the science coming from him?'"

60. In November 2006, Dr. Omalu studied the brain of former NFL player Andre Waters, who had died of a self-inflicted gunshot wound. The analysis of Waters' brain tissue conducted by Dr. Omalu showed signs of CTE.

61. A November 2006 *ESPN The Magazine* article described how the MTBI Committee failed to include hundreds of neuropsychological tests done on NFL players when studying the effects of concussions on the results of such tests. The article further revealed that Dr. Pellman had fired a neuropsychologist for the New York Jets, Dr. William Barr, after Dr. Barr voiced concern that Dr. Pellman might be picking and choosing what data to include in the Committee's research to get results that would downplay the effects of concussions.

62. Dr. Pellman stepped down as the head of the MTBI Committee in February 2007. Dr. Kevin Guskiewicz, research director of UNC's Center for the Study of Retired Athletes, said

at the time that Dr. Pellman was “the wrong person to chair the committee from a scientific perspective and the right person from the league’s perspective.”

63. Regarding the work of Dr. Pellman, Dr. Guskiewicz stated, “[w]e found this at the high school level, the college level and the professional level, that once you had a concussion or two you are at increased risk for future concussions;” but “[Dr. Pellman] continued to say on the record that’s not what they find and there’s no truth to it.”

64. Dr. Pellman was replaced by Drs. Ira Casson and David Viano. Dr. Casson continued to dismiss outside studies and overwhelming evidence linking dementia and other cognitive decline to brain injuries. When asked in 2007 whether concussions could lead to brain damage, dementia, or depression, Dr. Casson denied the linkage six separate times.

65. In June 2007, the NFL convened a concussion summit for team doctors and trainers. At the summit, the co-chair of the MTBI Committee, Dr. Ira Casson, told team doctors and trainers that CTE has never been scientifically documented in football players.

66. In August 2007, the NFL issued a concussion pamphlet to players. Independent scientists and neurologists were disgusted and dismayed when the following statement appeared in the pamphlet: “current research with professional athletes *has not shown* that having more than one or two concussions leads to permanent problems if each is managed properly. It is important to understand that there is no magic number for how many concussions is too many.”

67. The concussion pamphlet clearly created player reliance. “We want to make sure all NFL players . . . *are fully informed* and take advantage of the *most up to date information* and resources as we continue to study the long-term impact on concussions.” (emphasis added). Evidently, the “most up to date information” did not include the findings of Drs. Guskiewicz,

Cantu, Omalu, and Bailes indicating a causal link between multiple concussions and later life cognitive decline.

68. In 2008, the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research conducted a study on the health of retired players, with over 1,000 former NFL players taking part. The results of the study, which were released in 2009, reported that "Alzheimer's disease or similar memory-related diseases appear to have been diagnosed in the league's former players vastly more often than in the national population – including a rate of 19 times the normal rate for men ages 30 through 49."

69. The NFL, which had commissioned the study, responded to its results by claiming that the study was incomplete. Further findings, it said, would be needed. Several experts in the field found the NFL's reaction to be "bizarre," noting that "they paid for the study, yet they tried to distance themselves from it."

70. Shortly after the results from this study were released, Representative John Conyers, Jr., Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, called for hearings on the impact of head injuries sustained by NFL players.

71. In the first hearing, in October 2009, Rep. Maxine Waters stated, "I believe you are an \$8 billion organization that has failed in your responsibility to the players. We all know it's a dangerous sport. Players are always going to get injured. The only question is, are you going to pay for it? I know that you dearly want to hold on to your profits. I think it's the responsibility of Congress to look at your antitrust exemption and take it away."

72. NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell testified at the hearing. He stated that "[w]e are fortunate to be the most popular spectator sport in America. In addition to our millions of fans, more than three million youngsters aged 6-14 play tackle football each year; more than one

million high school players also do so and nearly seventy five thousand collegiate players as well. We must act in their best interests even if these young men never play professional football.”

73. Goodell testified that “[i]n the past 15 years, the N.F.L. has made significant investments in medical and biomedical research. All of that information has been made public, subject to thorough and on-going peer review, published in leading journals, and distributed to the N.F.L.P.A. and their medical consultants. We have been open and transparent, and have invited dialogue throughout the medical community.”

74. Also in the October hearing, NFLPA Executive Director DeMaurice Smith stated that the study was not the first study on this issue. “While this is the first N.F.L.-accepted study that demonstrated a connection between on-field injury and post career mental illness, there have been studies over the last decade highlighting that fact. Unfortunately, the N.F.L. has diminished those studies, urged the suppression of the findings and for years, moved slowly in an area where speed should have been the impetus.”

75. After the Congressional Hearings, the NFLPA called for the removal of Dr. Casson as MTBI co-chair. “Our view is that he’s a polarizing figure on this issue, and the players certainly don’t feel like he can be an impartial party on this subject,” said NFLPA Assistant Executive Director George Atallah.

76. Dr. Casson and Dr. David Viano resigned as co-committee chairmen after the 2009 Congressional Hearing. Dr. Casson, as noted, came under criticism during the hearings for his “continued denials of any link among retired players between injuries sustained in professional football and heightened rates of dementia.”

77. Shortly after the October 2009 hearings, the NFL announced that it would impose its most stringent rules to date on managing concussions, requiring players who exhibit any significant sign of a concussion to be removed from a game or practice and be barred from returning the same day. The league's former practice of allowing players to return when their concussion symptoms subside, a practice experienced by each and every plaintiff, has been soundly criticized for putting its players at risk.

78. In the apparent change in policy, the NFL indicated that "independent experts" would decide who returns to play and who has to sit out so their brain can heal. Not surprisingly, the "independent experts," were selected by Dr. Pellman.

79. The change contradicted past recommendations by the Committee, which had recommended as safe the league's practice of returning players after concussion. The Committee had published a paper in the journal *Neurosurgery* in 2005 that stated, "[p]layers who are concussed and return to the same game have fewer initial signs and symptoms than those removed from play. Return to play does not involve a significant risk of a second injury either in the same game or during the season."

80. In December 2009, an NFL Spokesman stated that it was "quite obvious from the medical research that's been done that concussions can lead to long-term problems." This fact had been quite obvious to virtually every person involved in the study of concussions for more than a decade with the exception of the NFL and its' so called "experts."

81. On December 17, 2009, Cincinnati Bengals wide receiver Chris Henry, 26, died after falling from the back of a pickup truck. Drs. Omalu and Bailes performed a postmortem study on Henry's brain and diagnosed Henry with CTE.

82. In January 2010, the House Judiciary Committee held further hearings on Football Player Head Injuries. Committee Chairman Rep. John Conyers, Jr. noted that “until recently, the NFL had minimized and disputed evidence linking head injuries to mental impairment in the future.”

83. Dr. Casson provided oral and written testimony at the January 2010 hearings. He continued to deny the validity of other studies, stating that “[t]here is not enough valid, reliable or objective scientific evidence at present to determine whether or not repeat head impacts in professional football result in long term brain damage.”

84. Rep. Linda Sanchez soundly criticized the NFL at the hearings. “I find it really ridiculous that he’s saying that concussion don’t cause long-term cognitive problems. I think most people you ask on the street would figure that repeated blows to the head aren’t good for you.” She further commented that “It seems to me that the N.F.L. has literally been dragging its feet on this issue until the past few years. Why did it take 15 years?”

85. In 2010, the NFL re-named the panel, to the “Head, Neck and Spine Medical Committee” and announced that Dr. Pellman would no longer be a member of the panel. Drs. H. Hunt Batjer and Richard G. Ellenbogen were selected to replace Drs. Casson and Viano. The two new co-chairmen selected Dr. Mitchell S. Berger to serve on the panel.

86. Under its new leadership, the Committee admitted that data collected by the NFL’s former brain-injury leadership was “infected,” and said that their committee should be assembled anew. Attempting to distance itself from the prior regime, the new Committee formally requested that the group’s former chairman, Dr. Elliot Pellman, not speak at one of their initial conferences.

87. During a May 2010 Congressional Hearing, Congressman Anthony Weiner addressed Drs. Batjer and Ellenbogen with the following comment: “you have years of an infected system here, and your job is . . . to mop [it] up.” Step one should have been for the NFL’s committee to issue an adequate warning to league players about the causal link between multiple NFL concussions and cognitive decline. At one juncture during the Congressional Hearing, Rep. Weiner, infuriated by the answers he was being given by Ellenbogen chided, “You’re in charge of the brains of these players!”

88. Shortly after the May 2010 hearings, Dr. Batjer was quoted as saying, “[w]e all had issues with some of the methodologies described, the inherent conflict of interest that was there in many areas, that was not acceptable by any modern standards or not acceptable to us. I wouldn’t put up with that, our universities wouldn’t put up with that, and we don’t want our professional reputations damaged by conflicts that were put upon us.”

89. The NFL continued its deficient response to head injuries as the 2010 season began, and the league’s concussion woes continued. In an early season game in 2010, when Philadelphia Eagles’ Middle Linebacker Stewart Bradley was injured, staggered onto the field and stumbled over, it was clear he was injured.

90. Troy Aikman, the former Dallas Cowboys quarterback who had suffered multiple concussions and was analyzing the game on television, commented that “[i]t’s hard to image him coming back into this game in light of what we just saw.” But after about four minutes of real time, the Eagles sent Bradley back on the field. Bradley was soon removed from the game, this time diagnosed with a concussion.

91. In the same game, Philadelphia Eagles’ Quarterback Kevin Kolb was also sidelined by a concussion. He, too, was initially cleared to play and briefly returned to the game.

92. Recently, when legendary New York Giants' Linebacker Harry Carson was asked about the concussion issue, he was quoted as saying: "Physically, I have aches and pains, but that comes with playing the game. But if somebody tells you neurologically you could sustain some kind of brain damage that will go with you the rest of your life. If somebody had told me that a long time ago, I don't frankly think I would have [played]."

93. After some 16 years of essentially ignoring the issue, it appears as though the NFL has only very recently begun to take the concussion issue seriously. On October 20, 2010, in the wake of a series of dangerous and flagrant hits resulting in concussions, the NFL levied fines totaling \$175,000 on three players, James Harrison, Brandon Meriweather, and Duanta Robinson.

94. In discussing Meriweather's helmet-to-helmet hit on Baltimore Ravens' Tight End Todd Heap, NFL Executive Vice President of Football Operations Ray Anderson was quoted as saying that "in our view, the hit was flagrant and egregious. *Effective immediately*, that's going to be looked at at a very aggressive level, which would include suspension without pay . . . What I would tell you is that if there are flagrant and egregious violations of our current rules, we will be enforcing, *effective immediately*, discipline at a higher level." (emphasis added).

95. That same day, NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell forwarded a memo to all 32 NFL teams with a message that was to be read to all players and coaches. Also forwarded to each team was a video showing "what kind of hits are against the rules."

96. Goodell's memo provided in part that "violations of the playing rules that unreasonably put the safety of another player in jeopardy have no place in the game, and that is especially true in the case of hits to the head and neck. Accordingly, from this point forward, you should be clear on the following points: (1) Players are expected to play within the rules.

Those who do not will face increased discipline, including suspensions, starting with the first offense; (2) Coaches are expected to teach playing within the rules. Failure to do so will subject both the coach and the employing club to discipline; (3) Game officials have been directed to emphasize protecting the players from illegal and dangerous hits, and particularly from hits to the head and neck. In appropriate cases, they have the authority to eject players from a game.”

97. Two days later, a second memo was sent out to all teams by Ray Anderson providing each coach with the names of only his own players who have multiple infractions. As NFL Spokesman Greg Aiello provided, “the purpose was to provide an opportunity for the coach to give extra caution to those players to abide by the safety rules.”

98. On February 17, 2011, former Chicago Bears and New York Giants player Dave Duerson committed suicide. Only 50 at the time of his death, Duerson had suffered months of headaches, blurred vision, and faltering memory. After his death, Boston University researchers determined that Duerson was suffering from CTE.

99. In October 2011, Dr. Berger of the Head, Neck, and Spine Medical Committee announced that a new study was in the planning process. Addressing problems with the previous long-range study, a *New York Times* article noted that Dr. Berger said “There was no science in that.” Dr. Berger further stated that “data from pervious study would not be used. We’re really moving on from that data. There’s really nothing we can do with that data in terms of how it was collected and assessed.”

100. In November 2011, the league’s injury and safety panel issued a directive telling its game officials to watch closely for concussion symptoms in players. The directive came ten days after San Diego Chargers’ Guard Kris Dielman sustained a head injury against the Jets and

later had a grand mal seizure on the team's flight home. Dielman sustained a head injury during a game on October 23, finished playing the game, and was not assessed until afterward.

101. Following a decade and a half of burying its head in the sand, the first serious actions taken to address the rampant concussion problem in the League has already had positive effects. NFL Officiating Chief Carl Johnson was quoted on NBC's "Football Night in America," stating that he's "seen a change in players' behavior in one week."

102. Why league policy changes, accurate information sharing, strict fines, and warnings of this nature were not recommended by the NFL's so called "expert" committee soon after its creation in 1994, or even earlier by the League and/or its "official helmet" manufacturer, is difficult to comprehend. That it took 16 years to admit that there was a problem and to take any real action to address the same is willful and wanton and exhibits a reckless disregard for the safety of the players.

EQUITABLE TOLLING

103. The applicable statute of limitations is tolled because Defendants' fraudulent concealment of the dangers and adverse effects of head injuries made it impossible for Plaintiffs to learn of the hazards to their health.

104. Plaintiffs did not become reasonably aware of the dangerous nature of, and the unreasonable adverse side effects associated with, nor establish any provable compensable damages caused by, their head injuries prior to the date of this Complaint. The accrual of a complete cause of action relating to the cognizable physical manifestation of the injury did not exist until that time.

105. Defendants were under a continuing duty to disclose the true character, quality, and nature of the after effects of head injuries. Because of Defendants' concealment of the true

character, quality, and nature of these injuries, Defendants is stopped from relying on any statute of limitations defense.

106. Defendants, in the course of its business, omitted material key facts about the effects of head injuries, which prevented Plaintiffs from discovering a link between their premature return to action and their head injuries.

CLASS ACTION

107. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3), as representatives of a Class defined as follows:

All persons, and spouses of persons, who sustained one or more concussions, or suffered concussion like symptoms, while playing in an NFL football game and who has developed or will develop mental or physical problems as a result of the concussions or concussion like symptoms.

108. The members of the Class are so numerous and geographically dispersed that joinder is impracticable. Plaintiffs believe that the Class includes hundreds if not thousands of persons and spouses of persons who have developed mental or physical problems as a result of the sustaining traumatic brain injuries, concussions or concussion like symptoms while playing in an NFL football game, and that the locations of such persons is geographically dispersed throughout the country. Although the exact number and locations of such persons is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, records in the possession of Defendants will contain information on the identities and locations of such parties.

109. Numerous questions of law and fact are common to all the members of the Class because the Class Members all played under the same rules and practices; all played with the same equipment; and they all suffered traumatic brain injuries, concussions or concussion like symptoms while playing under these rules and practices. Such common questions include:

- a. whether the Defendants had a duty to provide warnings to players about the injuries associated with repeated brain trauma, concussions and concussion like symptoms;
- b. whether the Defendants willfully and wantonly concealed evidence related to the injuries associated with repeated brain trauma, concussions and concussion like symptoms; and
- c. whether the NFL had a duty to enact rules that protect players from the dangers of sustaining one or more traumatic brain injuries, concussions or concussion like symptoms in a football game.

110. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class and the claims of the Named Plaintiffs originate from the same practices on the part of Defendants. For example, Plaintiffs Melvin Hayes, Lester Ricard, Jr., and James Thornton are each persons who sustained one or more traumatic brain injuries, concussions, or suffered concussion like symptoms, while playing in an NFL football game and have developed mental or physical problems as a result of the traumatic brain injuries, concussions or concussion like symptoms. Also, Plaintiff Jennifer Hayes is a spouse of person who sustained one or more traumatic brain injuries, concussions, or suffered concussion like symptoms, while playing in an NFL football game and developed mental or physical problems as a result of the traumatic brain injuries, concussions or concussion like symptoms. As a result, all Plaintiffs have sustained damages as a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct.

111. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The interests of the Plaintiffs are coincident with, and not antagonistic to, those of the other Class Members.

112. Plaintiffs are represented by counsel with experience in the prosecution of class action litigation, and with particular experience with class action litigation involving medical injuries.

113. Class action treatment is also appropriate because the common questions of law and fact identified above predominate over questions affecting only individual members.

114. Class action treatment is also the superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Such treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, or expense that numerous individual actions would engender, and will prevent inconsistent rulings or decisions.

115. Plaintiffs know of no special difficulty to be encountered in the maintenance of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

COUNT I **NEGLIGENCE**

116. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

117. Defendants, as purveyors of safety equipment and rules for the League, owed Plaintiffs a duty to use reasonable care in researching, studying, and/or examining the dangers and risks of head injuries and/or concussions to NFL players, to inform and warn the players of such risks, and to effectuate reasonable policies and/or take other reasonable action to minimize the risks of head injuries.

118. Defendant NFL affirmatively and voluntarily established the MTBI Committee to examine the dangers and consequences of head injuries to NFL players, to report on its' findings, to provide information and guidance from its' research and studies concerning concussions to

teams and players, and to make recommendations to lessen the risks of concussions. Defendant NFL is responsible for the staffing and conduct of the MTBI Committee.

119. The NFL failed to use reasonable care in the manner in which it created the MTBI Committee and in the appointment of physicians to head the Committee who were not qualified for the job.

120. The Defendants and the NFL's MTBI Committee also failed to use reasonable care in researching, studying, and/or examining the risks of head injuries and/or concussions in professional football and in downplaying and in many cases denying both the severity of such injuries and the clear link between concussions and brain damage, thereby breaching the duty to the players, including the Plaintiffs.

121. The Defendants and the NFL's MTBI Committee failed to inform, warn and/or advise the players and/or misinformed them of the risks and complications inherent in sustaining concussions, thereby breaching the duty to the players, including the Plaintiffs.

122. The Defendants and the NFL's MTBI Committee were further negligent, careless and/or grossly negligent in the following respects:

- a. in failing to use reasonable care in overseeing, controlling and/or regulating policies and procedures of the League so as to minimize the risk of head injuries and/or concussions;
- b. in failing to use reasonable care in the research and/or investigation of the concussion issue;
- c. in failing to appoint a qualified physician or panel of physicians to head the aforesaid MTBI Committee;

- d. in placing a physician in charge of the Committee whose primary motive was to appease the NFL rather than to report accurately;
- e. in disregarding independent scientific studies which showed the risks of head injuries and/or concussions to NFL players' health;
- f. in failing to acknowledge, either publically or to the players, the linkage between playing football and long-term brain injuries;
- g. in failing to make and/or timely make necessary League policy changes as it pertain to intentional hits to the head, hits to the head of a defenseless player, helmet to helmet hits, and concussions in general;
- h. in publishing misleading and erroneous findings;
- i. in failing to issue a timely warning, through a concussion pamphlet or other means to the players concerning the causal link between concussions and later life cognitive decline;
- j. in issuing misinformation and purposefully attempting to mislead the players through the concussion pamphlet which they issued in August 2007;
- k. in collecting and reporting upon data that was "infected" and/or not reliable;
- l. in causing, by and through their negligent conduct and omissions, an increased risk of harm to their players;
- m. in failing to provide competent information to teams, players, coaches, trainers, and medical personnel with respect to the significance of head

injuries and/or concussions, their symptoms, and necessary and/or proper treatment of same;

- n. and in creating a “culture” within the NFL in which concussions and their devastating effects would run rampant.

123. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent, careless, and/or grossly negligent conduct and omissions as aforesaid, Plaintiffs have suffered serious injury, including but not limited to brain damage with a resultant loss therefrom.

124. That by reason of the foregoing negligence on the part of the said Defendants, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that their aforesaid injuries are permanent and that they will permanently suffer from the effects of their injuries, including but not limited to continuous pain and suffering and sever emotional distress.

125. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs were compelled and will be compelled in the future to require medical aid and attention, with a resultant cost therefrom.

126. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs have suffered loss of opportunity of employment and will continue to suffer such loss of opportunity in the future with a resultant loss therefrom.

COUNT II

FRAUD

127. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

128. Defendants materially misrepresented the risks faced by Plaintiffs related to head injuries. Defendants and the NFL’s MTBI Committee, through misleading public statements, published articles and the concussion pamphlet issued to the players, downplayed known long-term risks of concussions to NFL players.

129. Material misrepresentations were made by members of the NFL's Committee on multiple occasions, including but not limited to testimony given at Congressional Hearings and the "informational" pamphlet, which they issued to the players.

130. The material misrepresentations included remarks that Plaintiffs were not at an increased risk of head injury if they returned too soon to an NFL game or training session after suffering a head injury.

131. The material misrepresentations also included criticism of legitimate scientific studies, which illustrated the dangers and risks of head injuries.

132. Defendants knew the misleading nature of these statements when they were made.

133. Defendants knew, or should have known, that Plaintiffs would rely on these misrepresentations.

134. Plaintiffs relied on these misrepresentations when playing in the NFL. Had Plaintiffs known the risks to their health, they would not have agreed to jeopardize their health.

135. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered physical injury, including, but not limited to, memory and cognitive problems, and have suffered multiple economic losses.

COUNT III
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

136. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

137. Defendants' and the NFL's MTBI Committee concealed the risks of head injuries to Plaintiffs, and the risks to Plaintiffs if they returned to the playing field before making a proper recovery from their injuries.

138. Defendants and the NFL's MTI Committee, through misleading public statements, published articles and the concussion pamphlet issued to players, and concealed and downplayed known long-term risks of concussions to NFL players.

139. The concussion pamphlet clearly created player reliance. The NFL stated that "[w]e want to make sure all N.F.L. players . . . are fully informed and take advantage of the most up to date information and resources as we continue to study the long-term impact on concussions."

140. Further concealment of material information occurred in January 2010. Dr. Casson provided oral and written testimony at the January 2009 Congressional Hearings. He continued to deny the validity of other studies.

141. Defendants failed to acknowledge, either publicly or privately to players, the clear link between concussions and brain injuries being suffered.

142. Defendants failed to acknowledge, either publically or privately to players, the linkage between playing football and long-term brain injuries.

143. Defendants willfully concealed this information from Plaintiffs in order to prevent negative publicity and increased scrutiny of their practices.

144. Defendants knew that Plaintiffs would rely on the inaccurate information provided by Defendants.

145. Plaintiffs relied on the inaccurate information during their NFL careers.

146. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered physical injury, including but not limited to, memory and cognitive problems, and multiple economic loss.

COUNT IV
NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

147. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

148. Defendants misrepresented the dangers that NFL players faced in returning to action too quickly after sustaining a head injury. Defendants and the NFL's MTBI Committee, through public statements which it knew or should have known were misleading, published articles and issued the concussion pamphlet to its players, and downplayed the long-term risks of concussions to NFL players.

149. Material misrepresentations were made by members of the NFL's Committee on multiple occasions, including but not limited to testimony at Congressional Hearings and the "informational" pamphlet issued to players.

150. The misrepresentations included remarks that Plaintiffs were not at an increased risk of head injury if they returned too soon to an NFL game or training session after suffering a head injury.

151. The material misrepresentations also included criticism of legitimate scientific studies that illustrated the dangers and risks of head injuries.

152. Defendants made these misrepresentations and actively concealed adverse information at a time when they knew, or should have known, because of their superior position of knowledge, that Plaintiffs faced health problems if they were to return to a game too soon.

153. Defendants knew or should have known the misleading nature of these statements when they were made.

154. Defendants made misrepresentations and actively concealed information with the intention that Plaintiffs would rely on the misrepresentations or omissions in selecting their course of action.

155. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered physical injury, including but not limited to, memory and cognitive problems, and multiple economic loss.

COUNT V
CONSPIRACY

156. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

157. Defendants actively and deliberately conspired with NFL teams and/or independent contractors, who were directed to continuously discount and reject the causal connection between multiple concussions suffered while playing in the NFL.

158. This conduct between the Defendants and others was a proximate cause of the chronic injuries and damages suffered by the Plaintiffs.

159. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered physical injury, including but not limited to, memory and cognitive problems, and multiple economic loss.

COUNT VI
LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

160. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

161. The actions of the Defendants as described above has caused and will continue in the future to cause the spouses of those who played professional football in the NFL to be deprived of the services, society, and companionship of their husbands.

162. The actions of the Defendants as described above has caused and will continue in the future to cause the spouses of those who played professional football in the NFL to both pay for and provide the medical treatment and care needed by their husbands.

163. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, the spouses of those who played professional football in the NFL have suffered and will continue to suffer economic loss, and have been and will continue to be deprived of the services and society of their husbands.

COUNT VII **MEDICAL MONITORING**

164. Plaintiffs re-aver and re-allege all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and further allege on information and belief as follows.

165. The Named Plaintiffs and the other Class Members who played in the NFL have each sustained personal injury, including but not limited to headaches, dizziness, memory loss, depression, and cognitive dysfunction as a result of the injuries they suffered as described above.

166. The Named Plaintiffs and the other Class Members who played in the NFL have each incurred past medical expenses and will incur future medical expenses as a result of the injuries they suffered as described above.

167. The Spouses of the Named Plaintiffs and of the other Class Members who played in the NFL, have been and will continue to spend money to pay for the medical care need by their husbands, and have been and will continue to provide care to their husbands.

168. Indeed, as described above, the Named Plaintiffs and the other Class Members who played in the NFL have been exposed to an increased risk of long-term injury and illness,

and even those who have not yet begun to evidence the long-term effects of the injuries which are the result of the misconduct described above, require specialized testing that is not generally given to the public at large for the early detection of the effects of concussions.

169. The available specialized testing and monitoring procedures are specific and necessary to detect and treat the headaches, dizziness, memory loss, depression, cognitive dysfunction and other injuries resulting from the injuries suffered as described above.

170. Because of the need for specialized testing and monitoring procedures, Plaintiffs seek certification of a medical monitoring class that is comprised of all former and current NFL players; that is established and funded by the Defendants; and that provides for the monitoring, testing and treatment of all past, current, and future NFL players for post-concussion injuries.

JURY DEMAND

171. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray:

- a. that process be issued according to law;
- b. that the Defendants be served with a copy of Plaintiffs' Complaint and show cause why the prayers for relief requested by Plaintiffs should not be granted;
- c. that Plaintiffs be granted a trial by jury in this matter;
- d. that the Court enter judgment against the Defendants for all general and compensatory damages allowable to Plaintiffs;
- e. that the Court enter judgment against the Defendants for all special damages allowable to Plaintiffs;

- f. that the Court enter judgment against the Defendants for all equitable relief allowable to Plaintiffs, including but not limited to punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish the wrongful conduct and deter similar wrongful conduct in the future;
- g. that the Court enter judgment against the Defendants for all declaratory relief allowable to Plaintiffs;
- h. that the Court enter judgment against the Defendants for all other relief allowable to Plaintiffs;
- i. that the Court award Plaintiffs prejudgment interest on all damages;
- j. that the Court award Plaintiffs the costs and expenses in this litigation, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees; and
- k. that the Court award Plaintiffs such other and further relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: October 5, 2012

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ James R. Dugan, II

James R. Dugan, II, Esq. (LSBA# 24785)
David B. Franco, Esq. (TXBA# 24072097)
Douglas R. Plymale, Esq. (LSBA# 28409)
Chad Primeaux, Esq.
THE DUGAN LAW FIRM, APLC
One Canal Place
365 Canal Street, Suite 1000
New Orleans, LA 70130
Telephone: (504) 648-0180
Facsimile: (504) 648-0181

Attorneys for All Plaintiffs

- And -

/s/ Mark Glago

Mark Glago, Esq. (LSBA #25395)
GLAGO LAW FIRM
365 Canal Street, Suite 1700
New Orleans, LA 70130
Telephone: (504) 599-8666
Facsimile: (504) 599-8699

Attorney for Plaintiffs: Melvin Hayes and Jennifer Hayes

- And -

/s/ Christina Cossich

Christina Cossich (LSBA# 32407)
Philip F. Cossich, Jr. (LSBA# 1788)
COSSICH, SUMICH, PARIOLA & TAYLOR, LLC
8397 Highway 23, Suite 100
Belle Chasse, LA. 70037
Telephone: (504) 394-9000
Facsimile: (504) 394-9110

Attorneys for Plaintiff: James Thornton

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Melvin Hayes, et al., Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Jefferson, LA

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

James R. Dugan, II, The Dugan Law Firm, APLC, One Canal Place, 365 Canal Street, Suite 1000, New Orleans, LA 70130, Tel: (504) 648-0180

DEFENDANTS

National Football League, Riddell, Inc. d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc., All American Sports Corp. d/b/a Riddell All American and Riddell Sports Group, Inc.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant New York, NY

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. 1332

Brief description of cause:

Personal Injury - Negligence, Fraud, Loss of Consortium, Medical Monitoring

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

Anita B. Brody (USDC EDPA)

DOCKET NUMBER

MDL No. 2323

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

10/05/2012

/s/ James R. Dugan, II

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Hayes, et al., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff

v.

National Football League, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

All American Sports Corp.
d/b/a Riddell All American
669 Sugar Lane
Elyria, OH 44035

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

James R. Dugan, II
The Dugan Law Firm, APLC
One Canal Place
365 Canal Street, Suite 1000
New Orleans, LA. 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Hayes, et al., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff

v.

National Football League, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

National Football League
345 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10017

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

James R. Dugan, II
The Dugan Law Firm, APLC
One Canal Place
365 Canal Street, Suite 1000
New Orleans, LA. 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Hayes, et al., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff

v.

National Football League, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Riddell Sports Group, Inc.
9801 West Higgins Road
Rosemont, IL 60018

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

James R. Dugan, II
The Dugan Law Firm, APLC
One Canal Place
365 Canal Street, Suite 1000
New Orleans, LA. 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Hayes, et al., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff

v.

National Football League, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Riddell, Inc.
Through its Registered Agent
Illinois Corporation Service C
801 Adlai Stevenson Dr.
Springfield, IL 62703

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

James R. Dugan, II
The Dugan Law Firm, APLC
One Canal Place
365 Canal Street, Suite 1000
New Orleans, LA. 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-2459

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: